TCH Provides Recommendations to Basel Committee on its Proposed Revisions to the Standardized Approach for Credit Risk and Capital Floors

TCH supports BCBS Credit Risk Proposal as an important first step in improving the assessment of credit risk under the Standardized Approach


In the letter, The Clearing House identifies areas where the Proposals should be modified to better achieve the Committee’s stated objectives of making the Standardized Approach more risk-sensitive, reducing reliance on external credit ratings and strengthening the comparability of risk-weighted asset calculations across jurisdictions. The U.S. Standardized Approach, which came into effect only on January 1, 2015, acts as a statutory floor for organizations that calculate their risk-based capital ratios under the Advanced Approaches rules. While The Clearing House generally favors globally consistent capital standards, changes to the Standardized Approach by the Basel Committee may not affect organizations in other jurisdictions that calculate their capital ratios only under the Advanced Approaches while U.S. federal banking agencies could be faced with the unfortunate choice of having to apply both the minimum U.S. Standardized Approach and some version of the revised Basel Standardized Approach to at least some subset of U.S. banking organizations.

“The Clearing House strongly supports the Basel Committee’s efforts to improve the Standardized Approach,” said David Wagner, Executive Managing Director and Head of Finance, Risk and Audit Affairs at The Clearing House. “However, we believe it is imperative that the Committee carefully calibrate the Standardized Approach risk weights resulting from any modifications based on QIS data, so that the Revised Standardized Approach is appropriately risk-sensitive as an empirical matter.”
The Clearing House’s comment letter provides a number of additional constructive recommendations to the Basel Committee for improvements to the Basel Standardized Approach, including: adoption of a measurement methodology based on a concept of “investment grade” for corporates, eligible fund collateral and investment funds; consideration of other regulatory initiatives that either are designed to address the same concerns or that are likely to have a significant impact on participants in the market for affected products in designing potential modifications to the existing credit risk mitigation framework; continued recognition of credit derivatives without a restructuring clause as eligible financial collateral since U.S. market practice has not required such clauses due to existing U.S. bankruptcy law; elimination of the core market exemption; retention of appropriate elements of national discretion designed to address domestic legal restrictions or market practice; and adoption of an aggregate floors approach.
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